- - - -	United States Courts Southern District of Texas
	FILED
	Ca I To The Cauli Dal a
	Of Texas, Houston Division
	OF TOOLS INVISION
	John Anthony Buchanan / Case 16
	SPN#02981929 1 Judge:
	Housing Unit 204-701 1 Magistrate:
	Harris County Jail-JA07
	701 N. San Lacinte
	Houston, Texas 77002 / Complaint
	Plaintiff, 1
	1/5
-	Harris Canty Sheriff's Office
	Leccel Department
	Lagrel Department 1
	Husten, Teas 7002
y 1 1 1 1	
	Defendont, 1
9) 9) 1,7	Introduction
k, t, t)	This is a Complaint by a protose pretrial detainer equinst
	the above named Defendent Dinsucret to Title 42 U.S.C. & 198
-	the above named Defendant Dursuant to Title 42 U.S.C. \$ 198 ("Civil Rights Act"), Title 42 U.S.C. \$ 1985(3), and Title 42 U.S.

\$ 12132 ("Title IT, American's with Disciplities Act"), for acts done by and through the actions of its employees and or greating accordence with a systematic Sustain, policy, and prectice to: (1) retaliate against the plaintiff, and others like him, for filling grieveness and petitions, (2) Conspire to approve the plaintiff, whom is a part of a suspect Closs, that Class being can immate whom Consistently asserts his right to file grieve Ces, of the equal protection of the law, and (3) failing to provide him a reasonable accommedation in his having assignment to accommendate his austribity as a right-leg-transitional ment to accommendate his austribity as a right-leg-transitional failernth American's with Dischility Act, For Compensatory Damages and industries relief.

I Posties

The Plaintiff is a Transtibial, Disabled Pretrial Detainer held in the Custody and Control of the above named Defendent in accordance with the United States Constitution, the Texas Constitution of Asgravated Assault.

2. The Defendant is a scienmental entity respossible for the pretrial detention and maintenance of pretrial Deterines within the Harry autor bil in accompance with the United States

	Constitution, the Texas Constitution, the Texas Government Code, one
	the Texas Commission on deil Standards, The Defendant is being
	Steel in its individual and official Copacity.
3,	The Defendent has and Continues, by once through the actions of
	Its employees and or agents in accordence with a systematic as
	ton, policy, and practice to violete the plaintiff's rights and
\	dery him ci reasonable accommodation in his having coorginment
,	
} { !	II. Irisdiction and Vebus
4	The Court has dirisalishion to event the relief requested
	person to Title 28 U.S.C. \$ 133). That relief being
	Compensatory clameres and intended relief.
	Venue is Proper in the Southern District of Texas, Iter-
	Stan, Division, becase the acts and om issions of the Idekal-
	Cont teak place within the territorial drisuiction of Harris
	Caroly,
	TIL Statement Of Facts
	A. Phintiff Engages in Protected Canduct
	The party pages of the control control
6	On Md 8, 2019, at or about 5'05 am, in Housing Unit
	2HI, the borelicap housing unit of the Houris County Juil
	the paintiff was charen by Detention Officer Mendo Zep
	2HI, the homelican housing unit of the Houris Centy Joil, the pointiff was charen by Detention officer Mendozer and asked whether he hard like to write a Statement

7.	upon inquiring as to why he had received a Conduct re- port, Detention Officer members States to the plaintiff "She Detention Officer Wang I said you would know why."
	port, Detention officer newloca States to the plaintiff the
	1 REPORTION OFFICER WONG -1 BOILD YOU WOULD MINE .
8.	After begging this, the plaintiff went to the Detention Offi-
	After hearing this, the plaintiff went to the Detention Offi- Cer's Lindow in the housing unif to Obtain his Confirmational Service form, Which prevides him notice of the reve
	Violation.
9	When the Paintiff requisited this information, Defention Officer hang Stated "What is that?"
	Officer wong Stated "Wheet is that?
10.	At that point the plaintiff became upset because he felt
8	At that point the plaintiff became upset because he felt Mekntion officer way was violating his right to disciplinar
	due pacess.
	Detention officer wing Continued to do this, another
	the paintiff Should her a Copy of the term he was More
	Sting After this, Detention officer Membrou Stepped in.
	1. Officer Mendoza Conspires with Detention Offices
	Mag
12	Detention Offices Mondoza Stated to the plaintiff "Wait!"
	Already Fastorted, the plaints & Cored Why.
13_	At that point, Detention Officer Membra Sons Fo

14	the plaintiff "well, fich you then Get away from the Dicket." The plaintiff returned to his had area.
·	The plaintiff returned to his had area.
1	
	B Allesse Action by Conspiring to deny Plaintiff Equal Andreotics Under the ADA
	Uncles The PIDA
14	At about 9: 20 am, that Some day, the Plaintiff Was Churchen by
# L \ 	detention Officer Newboker and told to noch his naperty, ARC-
	case he was being trastegred from the ADA Compliant Hasing
) 1	Chit of the Horris County Skil, 241.
ic !	$-\tau$ Ω t Ω t Ω t Ω t
	The Phintiff did as he was told, and went to the FCC.
	(Pan conving to the FCC, the Plaintiff has instacted to take a Seat in the innote holder.
1	
16.	After cobout 30 mins, the Praintiff was Called by the Hisporie Ce
	mak Dekotion Officer Working the FCC
; ; ;	
17.	She told the Plaintill to leave his Proporty, So to Classification
	that he was storying on the Second Floor, and that he would be
	transferred to "D-Pad" a seneral Presidentian Hesing unit of the Harris County Jail that does not Comply with the ADA.
)	
1	I Printiff is Denieu Verbal Regres for ADA Hersing
·	1. Plaintiff is Denieu Verbal Regres for ADA Hersing Accommendation for His Disability as a Transfibial Amputer
) V	
8	Upon arriving to Classification, the plaintiff asked

	the Africa-American, Cleft-Polite, Detention Offices on Shift at
	the time Why he was being transferred from an ADA
	Compliant housing unit to general population, when Closhi- fication is ownered him being an Ample.
19.	The Classification Defention Officer Object that he had to
	Switch the Plaintiff cet with a 75 yr ora mon with glas-
	Chuc,
20	He then told the Plaintiff that he hereless to spank
	With a Supervisor immediately.
21,	He also told the plaintiff for the Plaintiff Con tin-
	Someone to Switch with, he Could do it, which is
	bot the Plaintiffs respinsibility.
*	1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,
72	Upon bearing to the Second flow, the plaintiff immedi-
	Shift Sepervisor Of the Harris Country Sail, Deputy Sheri-
	FI Sof J. Wheeler
Š	
23,	The Plaint off explained to Set Wheeler the Sitertica, whom Stated that there was nothing that he Could do ; that
	when Stated that there was nothing that he Could do ; that
,	it has cet of his Cantral.
74	refsed to be pased in a heasing unit that aid
	refsed to be hased in a heising unit that and

	not a ccommedate his disability as a trastibial Ampeter.
25	At that point Set. J. Wheeler threatened to place the
	At that point Set. J. Wheeker threatened to place the Plaintiff in hand Cuts and dray him to the Men-AM Com- Phaint, general population Husing unit, where the Plaintiff
	click not belong.
26.	After herring this, the Plaintiff mercle ce more reasonable
	Dea to Set. Wheeler expression to him that it would be
	After, hearing this, the Plaintiff mercle a mare reasonable Plea to Set. Wheeler, expressing to him theat it would be foolishe to eccept a housing assignment their is unable to
	Occommodate his disability
U	At that point, Sct. Wheeler Stated to the Phrintiff that
	he hard so to Classification and Speak with them, but
	there was his gierantee that the plaintiff well be
	accommodated.
28,	He then told the plaintiff if it did not Change the
	He then told the plaintiff if it did not Chapse, the Plaintiff would need to do the papertient, and that he was Provide the Plaintiff a grievence.
	Wales Provide the Plaintiff a Consevence.
29	Shortly after Set Wheeler returned, care the Plaintiff on
THE COLUMN TWO IS NOT	Shortly after, Set Wheeler returned, some the Plaintiff on Inmate Science Form, and told the plaintiff that he had
Ry Co	to so to the non-ADA Compliant hasing wit.
	§

TV. Claims For Relief

- 1. The Defendat, by and through the actions of its employees and ar agents retaliated occinst the Plaintiff in violation of the First and Fourteenth Amerilment to the United States Constitution by treastering him from a ADA Compliant Housing feeility to a several paparation housing unit for asserting his right to disciplinary alle process.
- 2. The Defendent, by and through its employees and or agents wire laked 42 U.S.C. & 1985(3) by Conspiring to apprive the Plaintiff of equal Protection of the Protections Secured under Title II up the American's with Disabilities Act, by making him from Can ADA Compliant Hessing unit and refusing to accommodate him you reguest.
- 3. The Defendent, by Once through its employee, and as grents, violated Title IF of the America's with Dischilities Act by faiting to Coccummedate the Plaintiff's alisability as a Trastitual Amp-

V Hoyer For Relief

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Drays for relief from the Deforctont in the following

a. Compensatory Damages in excess of \$1 25, coo fer each

	Date: Nov. 13, 2019
	John Anthony Bichanan
	SPN # 029 81929
	United States Courts Southern District of Texas
	Herris County Vail-JAG? Kny 19 2019
	70) W. San Jecinto David J. Bradley, Glerk of Court
	Howsen, Texas Docs?
	David Bradley, Clerk
	United States District Court
	Clerk of Cort
	P.O. BCX G5/0
· 	Houston, Toxas 77708
	Mr. Bradley:
	In response to your letter deaker Merch 11, 2019, Whith I
	Still have, please fine their I have not enclosed with my Com
	Dkint the following documents: (1) AB 440 Summers, (2) a
	Phint the following documents: (1) AO 440 Summers, (2) a Civil Cener Sheet, or (3) q U.S. Marshall form 285.
!	
	As you recall from your letter, you take the frat I do not need these forms, so that is why you did not provide them to me in my telesivery 26, 2019 request.
	new these forms, so that is why you did not pravious them to
	me in my February 26, 2019 regrest.
 	
	Marcaer, please fines that I have not president a "Certifica" Copy
- <u>;,-</u>	If my inmak account history, please note that I have tried nu-
·	marcas times to dotain a Certified Capy to no acil.
	WE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE TH

INDIGENT

Aramark

Street 701 N. Sandacinto

SPN: Sex 819 29

HARRIS COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE JAIL

NGV 19 2019

United States District Cart Clerk of Cart P.O. Bex 61010 Howson, Toxos 77208

772081010 8001

United States Courts
Southern District of Texas
FILED

U.S. POSTAGE >> PITNEY BOWES J000368784NOV 14 2019 77002 \$ 000.80°